

From: [Turner, Philip](#)
To: [Jennifer Sampson](#)
Cc: [Miller, Garyg](#); [David Keith](#)
Subject: RE: RBA
Date: Monday, February 03, 2014 3:13:00 PM

I did not have a preference between the teams, but was terribly disappointed that it wasn't even a good game.

You did not miss anything. I thought the FS comments would have the RBA issue specifically, but I see now that it isn't there. Perhaps because, as we discussed, the comments originated following the risk assessment. It wasn't until they were observed by reference in the FS that our HQ folks took more notice. Sorry for the confusion. This might be better because either way, we will need that before we can proceed with (or amend) PCLs that were carried forward in the FS. As we discussed before, use those comments I provided on Jan 09 from our TRW Bioavailability Committee as a guide, and just bolster the argument for the 0.5 as much as you can.

Phil

From: Jennifer Sampson [mailto:jsampson@integral-corp.com]
Sent: Monday, February 03, 2014 12:17 PM
To: Turner, Philip
Cc: Miller, Garyg; David Keith
Subject: RE: RBA

Phil-

Yes, everyone is very excited around here! In Seattle, we're not well known for the success of our teams, but maybe this bodes well for our (seemingly hopeless) baseball team. One can hope.

I did not see the RBA issue reflected in the FS comments that we received on January 20. Can you direct me to the comment in which this issue was raised? I apologize if I missed something.

Thanks,

Jennifer

Jennifer Sampson | Senior Managing Scientist
Integral Consulting Inc. | www.integral-corp.com
411 1st Avenue S., Suite 550 | Seattle, WA 98104
Tel: 206.957.0351 | Cell: 360.286.7552 | Fax: 206.230.9601

HEALTH ENVIRONMENT TECHNOLOGY SUSTAINABILITY

From: Turner, Philip [mailto:Turner.Philip@epa.gov]
Sent: Monday, February 03, 2014 10:03 AM
To: Jennifer Sampson
Cc: Miller, Garyg
Subject: RBA



9494044

Hi Jennifer,

I bet Seattle is a hung over mess today! Wow... what a game!

Recall from our conversation a while back that I did not know if we would ask for the bolstering of the RBA justification in the FS response to comments or as a separate 'memo'. I think the issue was represented in the comments on the FS, however, it seems it would be best to get something on the RBA before the response on the FS is complete. I imagine the response to the FS comments will take a good chunk of time, the RBA issue will inform the FS, and since we also need to send the RBA response back through our HQ folks, we should go with a separate response. I'm not sure what you had in mind, but as far as we are concerned, it does not have to be a formal Tech Memo or the like... whatever would be simplest and quickest.

Let me know if you would like to discuss further.

Phil

Philip K. Turner, PhD
Env Scientist / Risk Assessor
US EPA
1445 Ross Ave. Suite 1200
Dallas, TX 75202
Tel: (214) 665-2706
Fax: (214) 665-6660
turner.philip@epa.gov